	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF SUFFOLK - CIVIL TERM - PART 16
	X
	THE TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN,
į	Petitioner,
)	-against-
	METROPOLITAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY, ET AL,
	Defendant.
	X
	INDEX NUMBER: 4273/15
	COURT'S DECISION
	February 10, 2016
Central Islip, New York	Central Islip, New York
	BEFORE:
HONORABLE H. PATRICK LEIS, III Justice of the Supreme Court	
	APPEARANCES:
	ROSENBERG, CALICA & BIRNEY
100 Garden City Plaza Suite 408 Garden City, New York 11330 BY: ROBERT M. CALICA, ESQ.	-
	Attorney for the Petitioner-Town of Brookhaver

1	
2	
3	
4	BRYAN CAVE 1290 Avenue of the Americas
5	New York, New York 10104 BY: PHILIP E. KARMEL, ESQ.
6	CB MOBLEY, ESQ. Attorneys for MTA/LIRR
7	
8	
9	
10	STATE OF NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
11	120 Broadway 26th Floor
12	New York, New York 10271 BY: LISA FEINER,
13	Assistant Attorney General
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BARBARA ROSEN,
22	Senior Court Reporter
23	
24	
25	

25

1 THE COURT: I think that the people are entitled 2 to a decision, and so I am going to make the decision at 3 this time. The decision I am going to read on the record. This can then be so-ordered by counsel, and I 4 5 will so order the stipulation for purposes of appeal. 6 The DEC decision document made in May of 2014 7 selecting alternative number two, cap and restore, 8 cannot stand, as the DEC violated its own law, ECL 9 section 27-1305, in not placing the Long Island Rail Road, the Yaphank site on the Registry and classifying 10 11 it as one of five possible categories, and then, if classified as either one or two, requiring a remediation 12 13 plan. 14 In choosing to utilize the Voluntary Cleanup Program, which was not authorized by the legislature and 15 was effectively eliminated when the legislature 16 17 established the Brownfield Cleanup Program, the DEC acted ultra vires that is beyond its authority. 18 I cite the Borrelli case and many of the cases 19 20 that have been cited in papers, voluminous papers in the 21 file. 22 The Respondents argue that this argument was 23 raised by the Petitioner's reply affidavit, and therefore, should not be allowed, it is without merit. 24

Petitioner has consistently alleged in the

- 1 amended complaint, the DEC acted ultra vires by engaging
- 2 in a Voluntary Cleanup Plan with the Long Island Rail
- 3 Road.
- 4 The argument they did not place the site on the
- 5 Superfund Registry, pursuant to Title 13, is implicitly
- 6 included with the Petitioner's ultra vires arguments in
- 7 the amended complaint.
- 8 Also, as stated in Gluck versus The New York
- 9 City Transit Authority 118 A.D. 667, arguments raised
- 10 for the first time in reply papers may be considered if
- 11 the opposing side is given the opportunity to respond
- and submit papers in sur-reply, as was done here.
- 13 Accordingly, this Court will give the Petitioner
- an opportunity, in fact, I will grant your request to
- amend your Petition to request a remand back to the DEC,
- 16 and I will direct that this action is remanded back to
- 17 the DEC to comply with ECL Title 13, among other things
- and place this site on the Registry and then follow the
- 19 law with remediation plan a, classify the inactive waste
- 20 site as either one or two or whatever, within the one to
- 21 five classification pursuant to 1305 of the
- 22 Environmental Conservation Law, and then take the next
- 23 appropriate steps, which could even include a Brownfield
- 24 Cleanup Program, which the legislature enacted
- 25 approximately one year after the DEC entered into its

1	VCP, which is utilized herein.
2	
3	That is the Court's decision. I will so order
4	it.
5	The causes of action four and five, there is no
6	motion to dismiss them. They, I guess, will continue.
7	I don't know what is going to happen with them, but
8	there is no motion before me to be decided with respect
9	to four and five, and this decision was made under
10	motion sequence 003.
11	* * *
12	(Discussion held off the record.)
13	* * *
14	
15	THE COURT: So, the decision document, the
16	Court's decision today, will be annulled, and that's the
17	ruling of the Court.
18	We will close the record at this point.
19	
20	* * *
21	
22	SO ORDERED:
23	
24	
25	HON. H. PATRICK LEIS, III DATED

1	
2	
3	* * *
4	
5	
6	I, Barbara Rosen, certify the foregoing to be a
7	true and accurate transcription of the stenographic
8	notes of this proceeding.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Barbara Rosen
18	Senior Court Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	