**TO:** The Administrative Board of the Courts

**FROM:** Commercial and Federal Litigation Section of the New York State Bar

Association

**DATE:** May 11, 2017

**RE:** Proposed Revised Model Compliance Conference Stipulation and Order Form for

Use in the Commercial Division

The Commercial and Federal Litigation Section of the New York State Bar Association ("Section") is pleased to submit these comments in response to the Memorandum of John W. McConnell, counsel to the Chief Administrative Judge Lawrence K. Marks, dated March 30, 2017, proposing the adoption of a revised Model Compliance Conference Stipulation and Order Form for use in the Commercial Division (the "Proposal"). A copy of the Proposal is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

### I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Effective April 1, 2015, the Administrative Board of the Courts approved a Model Compliance Conference Order form for use in the Commercial Divisions of the New York State Supreme Court ("Current CCO"). The Section agrees with the Advisory Council's Subcommittee on Best Practices for Judicial Case Management ("Advisory Council") that recent substantive rule changes have been adopted by the Administrative Board of the Courts which necessitate revisions to the Current CCO. The Section therefore recommends that the proposed revised Model Compliance Conference Stipulation and Order ("Proposed Model CCO") be adopted, with the additional suggested revisions described in Point III.

#### II. SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

As set forth in the Proposal, effective April 1, 2015, the Administrative Board of the Courts approved the Current CCO. However, since that time, the Administrative Board of the Courts has adopted new Rules affecting practice in the Commercial Division. Those substantive rule changes resulted in a revised New Model Preliminary Conference Order for optional use in the Commercial Division of the Supreme Court, which became effective on August 1, 2016. The Advisory Council is seeking to make similar revisions to the Current CCO. The Proposal seeks to implement the Proposed Model CCO to replace the Current CCO. The following is a list of substantive changes identified by the Advisory Council:

- 1. The Proposed Model CCO would be by Stipulation, with a requirement that the lawyers for all parties sign the Proposed Model CCO before the Court "So Orders" the same, thereby noting their agreement to the deadlines outlined therein;
- 2. A revised section for noting Appearances on behalf of each party, with the intention to "streamline[] the mechanism to report changes in representation[,]" and to require

the parties to disclose the attorney appearing for the compliance conference, with the hope that this disclosure will encourage uniformity in appearances at future conferences;

- 3. A revision to the section "Confidentiality Agreement" to cite to the new Confidentiality Order;
- 4. Enlargement of the "Description of the Case" field to include additional information about any appeal of an Order on a Motion to Dismiss and the results thereof, and revision and expansion of the section requesting a summary of the Defendant's legal theory and current status of the case;
- 5. Reference in the section titled "Discovery" to the preamble to the Commercial Division Rules to encourage proportionality in discovery, and to specific Commercial Division Rules governing discovery;
- 6. Differentiation between the requirements for depositions of individuals and depositions of entities, requiring expanded information concerning the depositions of entities, including the date on which a party served a notice or subpoena, whether the individual consents to representing the entity, and the identity of counsel representing the entity;
- 7. Inclusion in the section for "Discovery" a new subsection for addressing Discovery Disputes, and requiring the parties to disclose the dates the parties engaged in the letter writing mechanism;
- 8. Expansion of the "Impleader" section to include when the impleading party expects discovery to be complete with respect to the third party, and shortening the time for Impleader to fifteen (15) days after the end of the last deposition of a named party;
- 9. Revision to the section on "Electronic Discovery" to include the dates of completion of electronic discovery, and expansion of the section to include requirements and deadlines for the completion of Privilege Logs; and
- 10. Addition to the section on "Dispositive Motions" to include instructions on making a motion for a Sealing Order.

As set forth in the Proposal, and similar to the Current CCO and the New Model Preliminary Conference Order, the Proposed Model CCO is not mandatory, and Justices of the Commercial Division are free to adopt all or part of the Proposed Model CCO.

# III. <u>RESPONSE AND SUGGESTIONS TO FURTHER THE GOALS OF THE PROPOSAL</u>

The Section concurs with the Proposal's objective, which is two-fold: (1) to incorporate new rules approved by the Administrative Board of the Courts, and to conform the Current CCO to the New Model Preliminary Conference Order that took effect on August 1, 2016; and (2) to "encourage the Court and litigants to review and update their Compliance Forms." However, the Section suggests the following revisions to the Proposed Model CCO:

In Section II ("Confidentiality Agreements"), the Section raises the issue, given the general practice in New York of maintaining open court records and the disinclination to grant sealing orders, that parties who have chosen not to enter into a Confidentiality Agreement are asked to explain or justify that decision. Therefore, the Section suggests that the last item on page 3 of 31 be modified to apply only in the event that there is disagreement amongst the parties as to whether a Confidentiality Agreement is necessary or as to the language therein:

"If <u>there is a disagreement amongst</u> the parties **HAVE NOT** entered into <u>concerning the necessity or language of</u> a Confidentiality Agreement, please provide the Court with an explanation <u>of the nature of the disagreement</u> as to the reason(s) the parties decided not to enter into a Confidentiality Agreement."

In Section IV.2 ("Document Production"), the Section suggests that the requirement that "[a]ll documents produced by any and all parties and non-parties must be Bates Stamped[,]" be limited to non-native format documents and that electronically stored information contain a unique identifier. The Section also suggests that the term "control numbers" be used instead of "Bates Stamped." Therefore, the Section suggests that the provision be amended as follows:

"All <u>non-native format</u> documents produced by any and all parties and non-parties MUST be identified and stamped with control numbers <del>Bates Stamped."</del>

LAWRENCE K. MARKS

JOHN W. MCCONNELL

#### **MEMORANDUM**

March 30, 2017

To:

All Interested Persons

From:

John W. McConnell

Re:

Request for Public Comment on Proposed Model Compliance Conference

Stipulation and Order Form for Use in the Commercial Division

makes polythological to Supalays around speaks to the control of t

The Commercial Division Advisory Council has recommended adoption of a revised model compliance conference stipulation and order form for use in the Commercial Division. As set forth in a supporting memorandum from the Council's Subcommittee on Best Practices for Judicial Case Management (Exh. A), the compliance conference order form currently in use was issued in April 2015; the proposed revised form reflects Commercial Division rule changes that have taken effect over the last two years. As with other forms implemented at the Council's recommendation, the proposed form is designed to serve as a model; its use would not be mandatory.

----

Persons wishing to comment on the proposed form should e-mail their submissions to rulecomments@nycourts.gov or write to: John W. McConnell, Esq., Counsel, Office of Court Administration, 25 Beaver Street, 11th Fl., New York, New York 10004. Comments must be received no later than May 30, 2017.

All public comments will be treated as available for disclosure under the Freedom of Information Law and are subject to publication by the Office of Court Administration. Issuance of a proposal for public comment should not be interpreted as an endorsement of that proposal by the Unified Court System or the Office of Court Administration.

**EXHIBIT A** 

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: COMMERCIAL DIVISION ADVISORY COUNCIL

FROM: The Subcommittee on Best Practices for Judicial Case

Management

RE: NEW MODEL COMPLIANCE CONFERENCE STIPULATION

AND ORDER FORM

DATE: **January 12, 2017** 

On January 15, 2015, the Administrative Board of the Courts approved the Compliance Conference ("CC") Order form for use in the Commercial Divisions of the New York State Supreme Court starting on April 1, 2015.

Since that time, the Commercial Division Advisory Council has recommended and the Administrative Board of the Courts has adopted a substantial number of new Rules affecting practice in the Commercial Division. Many of the new Rules were created in an attempt to streamline and modernize the discovery process.

As a result, a revised New Model Preliminary Conference Order "for optional use in the Commercial Division of the Supreme Court" was adopted by the Administrative Board on June 24, 2016 with the new form becoming effective on August 1, 2016. The Administrative Board also stated that "all prior versions (of the PC Order) are hereby repealed."

Once again, the New Model Compliance Stipulation and Order is precatory and not mandatory. Judges are free to adopt the new CC in its entirety or sections of the same or none of its proposed provisions. It is hoped, however, that the new form will encourage the Court and litigants to review and update their Compliance Forms.

The new Revised Model Preliminary Conference ("PC") Order Form had, as its salient features,

- A new section for Pre-Answer Motion Practice;
- A more streamlined section for the Description of the Case;
- A completely revamped section on Discovery including:
  - (1) A new section incorporating the new Preamble to the Commercial Division Rules, 22 NYCRR 202.70(g), particularly the admonition to "encourage proportionality in discovery..."
  - (2) New language for Document Production pursuant to Rule 11-e(a), 22 NYCRR 202.70(g)(11-e)(a));
  - (3) New language concerning Interrogatories, Rule 11-a, 22 NYCRR 202.70(g)(11-a);
  - (4) A new section concerning Deposition of Individuals including the limitation, without prior court approval, as to the number of depositions and the length of time for each deposition, Rule 11-d, 22 NYCRR 202.70(g)(11-d);
  - (5) Another section concerns Deposition of Entities. This new Rule, which was approved by the Board of Judges on October 15, 2015 for use by litigants on December 1, 2015, outlines a creative mechanism to streamline the gathering of discovery information from all forms of business entities. The proposed new Rule is outlined in detail in order to provide both the Bench and the Bar with the tools necessary to secure information from these entities:
  - (6) The new Revised Model PC Order form also includes information on how to address Disclosure Disputes pursuant to Rule 14, 22 NYCRR 202.70(g)(14);
  - (8) The section on Electronic Discovery and Privilege Logs has been completely reworked to condense the previous section pursuant to Rule 8(b), 22 NYCRR 202.70(g)(8)(b), and to incorporate the Categorical or Document-by-Document approach to Privilege Logs pursuant to Rule 11-b, 22 NYCRR 202.70(g)(11-b);

- (9) The section on Expert Discovery has been modified to remind the parties that there are rules that must be followed in a timely fashion, 22 NYCRR 202.70(g)(13)©; and
- a reworked Section on Alternative Dispute Resolution to focus the parties on the methods to be used and timing of the use of Alternative Dispute Resolution.
- Finally, there is a new section concerning Additional Directives emphasizing the need to inform the court of disposition of cases and the absolute need to sign up for the FREE *etrack* notification system in the Court system.

Now the Best Practices Subcommittee is proposing a New Model Compliance Conference Stipulation and Order that closely tracks the New Revised Model Preliminary Conference Order. It provides, as one of its main new features, that the lawyers on the case sign the Stipulation before the Court "So Orders" the form. The idea behind this revision is to encourage a closer participation in the discovery process by the attorneys in the case.

Each section of the new Model CC attempts to further discovery by including special features:

- (1) A new section on Appearances streamlines the mechanism to report changes in representation. It also includes, for the first time, a section on who is appearing for the Compliance Conference. This will encourage, it is hoped, that the same attorneys appear for subsequent conferences.
- (2) The Confidentiality Agreement section contains the cite to the new Confidentiality Order.
- (3) The section on the Description of the Case is greatly expanded to include defendant's legal theory and current status of the case.
- (4) Deposition of Individuals requires specificity as it concerns the scheduling of depositions.
- (5) The section on Deposition of Entities requires the parties to set forth the actual date a party served a notice or subpoena; and the name of the individual representing the entity, their title and whether the individual consents to representing the entity a requirement under the rule.

- (6) The section on Disclosure Disputes, which outlines the mechanism for dispute resolutions in discovery matters, has a new section outlining the dates the parties used the letter writing mechanism.
- (8) The Impleader section has been expanded to include more discovery dates.
- (9) The section on Electronic Discovery remains largely the same except for the dates of completion of electronic discovery but the section on Privilege Log has been expanded to include actual dates for the completion of the log.
- (10) The other sections include the same types of questions concerning completion of the work, except that under the Dispositive Motion Section, there is language concerning the issue of Sealing portions of documents that the parties intend to rely on in their dispositive motions.

As previously stated, the Compliance Conference Order includes a section requiring the parties to sign the document stating that they agree to the dates outlined in the document.

The New Model Compliance Conference Stipulation and Order reflects the amount of substantive work the Commercial Division Advisory Council has undertaken over the past three years. The revisions, approved by the Administrative Board of the Courts, made to the Commercial Division Rules have been highly substantive: they have changed the culture of practice in the Commercial Divisions and, it is hoped, provide a new framework to reduce the costly burden of discovery for the practitioners and litigants in the Commercial Division.

The Best Practices Subcommittee respectfully requests that the new Revised Model Compliance Conference Stipulation and Order form, attached hereto, be considered for adoption.

The Best Practices Subcommittee



		Present: Ноп.
		Part:
	Plaintiff(s)	Index No.:
	- against -	RJI Filing Date:
		_ NEW MODEL
		_ COMPLIANCE
		_ CONFERENCE
		STIPULATION AND ORDER (1-12-2017)
		<del>-</del>
PRE	Defendant(s)  CLIMINARY CONFERENCE: A and the Court signed the Preliminar	X Preliminary Conference was held in this
PRF case	ELIMINARY CONFERENCE: A and the Court signed the Preliminar	X  Preliminary Conference was held in this y Conference Order on:, 20
PRE case	CLIMINARY CONFERENCE: A and the Court signed the Preliminar	Preliminary Conference was held in this y Conference Order on:
PRE case  The have done	CLIMINARY CONFERENCE: A and the Court signed the Preliminar purpose of this Compliance Conference and to determine what items to ensure that discovery is comple	Preliminary Conference was held in this y Conference Order on:
PRE case  The have done	cLIMINARY CONFERENCE: A and the Court signed the Preliminar purpose of this Compliance Conference and to determine what items to ensure that discovery is complete PEARANCES: The parties entered ference.	Preliminary Conference was held in this y Conference Order on:
The have done	cLIMINARY CONFERENCE: A and the Court signed the Preliminar purpose of this Compliance Conference and to determine what items to ensure that discovery is complete PEARANCES: The parties entered ference.	Preliminary Conference was held in this y Conference Order on:

Index No.:
nt New Model Compliance
Conference Order Page 2 of 3
Counsel for Defendant
HAS or HAS NOT changed.
additional pages, if necessary.
EACH NEW COUNSEL:
se include (1) your name; (2) your firm's name; (3) your address; (4) your 's telephone number; (5) your direct telephone number; (6) your e-mail ess; and (7) the party you represent.
additional pages, if necessary.
ASE INDICATE WHO IS APPEARING AT THIS COMPLIANCE NFERENCE:
Counsel for Plaintiff: Firm name:
Counsel for Defendant
Firm name:
Counsel for Defendant
Firm Name:

Please use additional pages, if necessary.

m	tiff: Index No.:
D€	efendant New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 3 of 3
	CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT:
	At the Preliminary Conference, the parties indicated whether they had or had not entered into a Confidentiality Agreement. The Preliminary Conference Order included an explanation regarding the need for Confidentiality Agreements. The Preliminary Conference Order also stated:
	The parties are directed to use the Model Confidentiality Agreement promulgated in the part before which they are appearing. If the Trial Part does not have as specific form it uses, the parties are referred to the model confidentiality agreement found at:
	https://www.nycourts.gov/rules/trialcourts/202.70(g)%20- %20Rule%2011-g%20(attachment).pdf
	If the parties need to change either the Trial Part's model confidentiality agreement or the one found at the link listed above, the parties are to submit a signed Confidentiality Agreement with the changes and a red line copy for the Court to review.
	The parties HAVE or HAVE NOT entered into a Confidentiality Agreement.
	The Court HAS or HAS NOT so ordered the Confidentiality  Agreement and, if the Court has so ordered it, on what date did the Court so order

Plainti	ff:	Index No.:
v. Def	endant	New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 4 of 31
m.	DESCRIP	ΓΙΟΝ OF THE CASE:
	(a) Plaint	tiff .
	Plaintiff was the case. De	ninary Conference Order, pursuant to 22 NYCRR 202.12(c)(1), the asked to provide a brief description of the factual and legal issues of efendant(s), if issue had been joined, was/were also required to provide iption of the case.
	(1)	If Plaintiff has filed an amended complaint, please inform the Court as to the changes to Plaintiff's factual and legal case since the Preliminary Conference Order:
and/o	r (2)	If the Court has issued an order on a Motion to Dismiss, please inform the Court as to which causes of action remain in the case:
	(3)	Has a Notice of Appeal been filed?YESNO
	(4)	Was the Appeal perfected?NO
	(5)	Was the Appeal decided?YESNO
	(6)	What was the Appeal Court's decision:

Plaintiff:		Index No.:
		New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 5 of 31
	(7)	Because of the changes to the case, please indicate whether the amount of damages has changed:
		Damages Amount has changed:YESNO
		Please indicate the new Damages Amount: \$
(b)	Defe	ndant(s)
	(1)	If not done in the Preliminary Conference, please describe Defendant''s, legal theory and salient facts in support of defenses, counterclaims and third-party claims
		Amount Demanded on the Counterclaim/Cross Claim: \$
If there is mo	re tha	n one defendant, please repeat Question No. III(b) for each defendant.
	(2)	Did a party make a Motion to Dismiss the counterclaims?YESNO
	(3)	If the Court has issued an order on a Motion to Dismiss the counterclaims, please inform the Court as to which counterclaims remain in the Defendants' case:
	(4)	Has a Notice of Appeal been filed? YESNO
	(5)	Was the Appeal perfected? YESNO

Plaintiff:			Index No.:
v. Defendant			New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 6 of 31
	(6)	Was the Appeal decided?	YESNO
	(7)	What was the Appeal Court's decis	sion:
Please use	additio	nal pages, if necessary.	
IV. DIS	COVE	CRY	
Division R	ules fou	RED that disclosure shall proceed pund at <a href="http://www.nycourts.gov/ru">http://www.nycourts.gov/ru</a> WERAL ADMONITIONS:	ursuant to the Commercial les/trialcourts/202.shtml#70
The Prear states that client reso resolution process. review the regarding to discover		Preamble to the Commercial Division is that the Commercial Division is "mat resources, encourage proportionals aution of matters, and increase respectess. Litigants and counsel who appears the Rules regarding sanctions, including failure to appear at a conference scovery schedules, and Rule 24(d) regarding with the case when making	indful of the need to conserve ity in discovery, promote efficient t for the integrity of the judicial ar in this Court are directed to luding the provisions in Rule 12 e, Rule 13(a) regarding adherence garding the need of counsel to be
	Have	e you met and conferred concerning of	discovery?
		YESNO. If Y	YES, when did you meet and
	•	Have you adjusted your discovery the "proportionality in discovery"  Division Rules? YES	demands in order to comply with admonition in the CommercialNO

Plaintiff:	t Index No.:  New Model Compliance				
v. Defendan	Conference Order				
	Page 7 of 31				
	If YES, please indicate what each party did to meet the				
	"proportionality in discovery" requirement?				
	(a) Plaintiff:				
	(b) Defendants				
(2)	DOCUMENT PRODUCTION:				
	All documents produced by any and all parties and non-parties MUST be Bates Stamped.				
	Pursuant to Commercial Division Rule 11-e(a), "For each document request propounded, the responding party shall, in its Response and Objections served pursuant to CPLR 3122(a) (the "Responses"), either:				
	(a) state that the production will be made as requested; or				
	(b) state with reasonable particularity the grounds for any objection to production."				
	In the <i>Preliminary Conference Order</i> , the parties were to exchange <b>Interrogatories</b> , <b>Document Production</b> and <b>Other Disclosure</b> pursuant to CPLR 3108, 3120 and 3123 by a date certain. Please indicate the date by which Interrogatories and Document Production was (is) to be completed by:				
	Was this deadline met?YESNO				
	(i) Has Document Discovery been completed?				
	YESNO				

Plaintiff:				Index No.:
v. Defendant			Probability	New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 8 of 31
	(ii)	If NOT CO	MPLETED	at this time, please explain why:
	(iii)	By what da Discovery:	te will be pa	rties be able to complete Document
	The	Court		ACCEPTS THE NEW DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF DOCUMENT DISCOVERY
				DOES NOT ACCEPT THE DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF DOCUMENT DISCOVERY
	(The	Court should	place its initi	al on the appropriate line.)
	agree NEV	ed to by the Co	ourt, the Cour	on of all Document Discovery is NOT thereby sets the following date as the IPLETION OF ALL DOCUMENT
				Court's Initial:

## (3) INTERROGATORIES

Pursuant to Commercial Division Rule 11-a, "Interrogatories (a) are limited to 25 in number, including subparts, unless another limit is specified in the Preliminary Conference Order. This limit applies to consolidated actions as well; (b) Unless otherwise ordered by the court, interrogatories are limited to the following topics: name of witnesses with knowledge of information material and necessary to the subject matter of the action, computation of each category of damage alleged, and the existence, custodian, location and general description of

'laintiff:				index No.:
. Defendant				New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 9 of 31
			ssary documer ther physical e	ntation, including pertinent insurance evidence."
	(i)	Have the Ir	nterrogatories	been completed?
		Walter and	_YES	NO
	(ii)	If NOT CO	OMPLETED	at this time, please explain why:
	(iii)	By what dat	te will be partic	es be able to complete Interrogatories:
	The C	Court		ACCEPTS THE NEW DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF INTERROGATORIES
				DOES NOT ACCEPT THE DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF ALL INTERROGATORIES
	(The (	Court should	l place its initi	al on the appropriate line.)
	agree NEW	d to by the C	ourt, the Court FOR THI	eletion of all Interrogatories is NOT hereby sets the following date as the COMPLETION OF ALL
		•		Court's Initial:

(4) DEPOSITION OF INDIVIDUALS

Pursuant to Commercial Division Rule 11-d, "(a) Unless otherwise stipulated to by the parties or ordered by the court: (1) the number of

Plaintiff:	Index No.:
v. Defendant	New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 10 of 31
	depositions (of individuals) taken by plaintiffs, or by defendants, or by third-party defendants, shall be limited to 10; and (2) depositions shall be limited to 7 hours per deponent." Please review the remainder of Rule 11-d for additional directives concerning depositions.
(a)	In the Preliminary Conference Order, the Plaintiff and Defendant(s) stated that they would complete the parties' depositions by:  and complete non-parties' depositions by
(b)	If the dates for depositions to be completed have not yet passed, please indicate whether you believe the deposition dates will be adhered to:
	YESNO
(c)	If Individual Depositions have NOT BEEN COMPLETED in a timely fashion, please explain why:
	Please indicate if the parties have met and conferred about the timing of the Individual Depositions: YESNO;
	If YES, when did you meet and confer concerning the timing of the Depositions:
	• By what date will be parties be able to COMPLETE the Individual Depositions:
	Plaintiff:
	Defendant:

Defendant:

Plaintiff;		_	Index No.:
v. Defendant		_	New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 11 of 31
	Others	***************************************	
Please use additi	onal pages, if necessary.		
	ALL INDIVIDU		ions shall be COMPLETED on or
The	e Court		ACCEPTS THE NEW DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF A L L I N D I V I D U A L DEPOSITIONS
			DOES NOT ACCEPT THE DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF ALL INDIVIDUAL DEPOSITIONS
	(The Court should pl	ace its initia	l on the appropriate line.)
	NOT agreed to by th	e Court, the	tion of all Individual Depositions is Court hereby sets the following date THE COMPLETION OF ALL
			Court's Initial:

## (5) DEPOSITION OF ENTITIES

On October 15, 2015, a new rule concerning the deposition of entities went into effect. Commercial Division Rule 11-f. The new rule concerns the deposition of entities such as a corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, public

Plaintiff:	Index No.:
v. Defendant	New Model Compliance
Dolondani	Conference Orde
	Page 12 of 3

corporation, government, or government subdivision, agency or instrumentality, or any other legal or commercial entity.

The Rule is intended to promote a more efficient process for deposition of entity representatives and reduce the likelihood of a mismatch between the information sought and the witness produced.

The essential elements of the new Rule are (emphasis added):

- (i) A party wishing to take a deposition of an entity will serve a notice or subpoena enumerating those matters to be the subject of the deposition "with reasonable particularity."
- (ii) If the notice or subpoena does not name a particular officer, director, member or employee of the entity, the named entity must designate one or more officers, directors, members or employees or other individual(s) who consent to testify on its behalf. The named entity must identity the individual who will be testifying on the entity's behalf, the description and title of that individual; and the matter(s) on which that individual will testify.
- (iii) If the notice or subpoena does name a particular officer, director, member or employee of the entity, the entity, pursuant to CPLR 3106(d), shall produce that individual, unless, no later than ten days before the deposition, the entity designates another individual who consents to testify on its behalf, in the place of the named or subpoenaed officer, director, member or employee of the entity; and shall provide the identification, description or title of the new individual, and the matter(s) on which the individual will testify.
- (iv) Deposition testimony given pursuant to this Rule shall be usable against the entity on whose behalf the testimony is given to the same extent provided in CPLR 3117(2).
- (v) The deposition of an entity shall be treated as a single deposition even though more than one person may be designated to testify on the entity's behalf. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the cumulative presumptive durational limit is in effect but may be enlarged by

Plaintiff:v. Defendant	Index No.:
	ment of the parties or upon application for leave of Court, which be freely given.
(a)	At the Preliminary Conference, the parties designated the entities to be deposed. Since that time have the parties served a notice or subpoena enumerating those matters to be the subject of the deposition of the entity "with reasonable particularity?"
	YESNO
	If YES, when did you serve the notice or subpoena?
	If NO, when will you serve the notice or subpoena?
(b)	Has the individual identified to represent the entity, consented to testify on behalf of the entity?
	Plaintiff: Entity: Name of Individual(s)
	Title of the Individual:
	Individual's Consent: YESNO
	Defendant: Entity: Name of Individual(s)
	Title of the Individual: Individual's Consent:  YES  NO
	Defendant: Entity:  Name of Individual(s)
	Title of the Individual:
Please use additional page	Individual's Consent: YESNO

Plaintiff:v. Defendant			Index No.:  New Model Compliance  Conference Order  Page 14 of 33
		y what date will t	the parties be able to <i>COMPLETE</i> the <b>Ent</b> ity
	• P	laintiff:	
	• D	efendant:	
	. 0	others:	
		-	
Please use additio	onal pages.	if necessary.	
		•	tions shall be COMPLETED on or before
	ALL E	ANTITI I deposi	Hous shall be COMI Library on or below
	The Cou	ırt	ACCEPTS THE NEW DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF ALL ENTITY DEPOSITIONS
			DOES NOT ACCEPT THE DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF ALL ENTITY DEPOSITIONS
	(The Co	urt should place	its initial on the appropriate line.):
	agreed to	o by the Court, th	Completion of all Entity Depositions is NOT are Court hereby sets the following date as the COMPLETION OF ALL ENTITY
	DEPOS	ITIONS	

aintiff:			<u> </u>		Index No.:
Defendan	ıt				New Model Compliance
					Conference Order Page 15 of 31
					rage 13 01 31
(6)	ОТІ	HER D	ISCOVERY		
		case depo (Insp	pursuant to CPLR 3 sitions obtained by section, testing, cop	108 (Deposition commission or pying and pho	scovery" will be needed in this ons by written questions or oral letters rogatory), CPLR 3120 tographing) and CPLR 3123, documents and photographs):
		Ву w	what date will this "C		y" be completed?
(7)	DIS	CLOS	URE DISPUTES		
			Commercial Divi the following manne		, discovery disputes will be
	1.		e Part Rules outline a Rules must be follo		resolve discovery disputes, the e are no Part Rules:
	2.	discl singl subn supp	losure dispute shall le spaced in length, nit response letter(sport of the issue must schedule a (teleph	write a letter to outlining the interpretation of equal length also be attack	the 14, namely a party with a to the Part, maximum 3-pages issue(s); the other side(s) may gth. Necessary documents in the to the letter. The Part will ace to, hopefully, resolve the
		(a)	Have either you mechanism outlin		sition availed yourself of the
				YES	NO

Plaintiff:v. Defendant			Index No.:  New Model Compliance  Conference Order  Page 16 of 31
	(b)	(i)	When was the first letter written:
			By whom?
			About what?
			When was the telephone conference held:
			Was the issue resolved?
		(ii)	When was the second letter written:
			By whom?
			About what?
			When was the telephone conference held:
			Was the issue resolved?

Please use additional pages, if necessary.

Plaintiff:		Index No.:
v. Defendan		New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 17 of 31
(8)	IMP	PLEADER:
	days	endant(s) shall serve third-party summons and complaint no later than 15 after the end of the last deposition of a named plaintiff and defendant or the last deposition of a representative of a named party.
	bates as w	endant(s) MUST SERVE all third parties with copies of all pleadings; s-stamped document discovery; interrogatories and deposition transcripts, ell as any other information no later than 14 DAYS after serving a third-y pleading.
	(i)	If Depositions have been completed, has/have the Defendant(s) served a third party summons and complaint YES NO
		If so, against whom and please provide the Court with an updated caption.
	(ii)	Please provide the Court with the name; the firm's name; the firm's address; the firm's telephone number; the attorney's direct telephone number; and the attorney's e-mail address, if you know it.
	(iii)	If a third-party summons and complaint has been served, please indicate if:
		Answer(s) have been served: YESNO

(iv) If YES, has a schedule for discovery been completed:

Plaintiff:			Index No.:
v. Defendant			New Model Compliance Conference Order
			Page 18 of 31
	(1)		
	(2) (3)		y:
(v)	Whe	n is this Impleader dis	covery expected to be completed:
	The	Court	ACCEPTS THE DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF IMPLEADER DISCOVERY,
		-	DOES NOT ACCEPT THE DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF IMPLEADER DISCOVERY
	(The	Court should place its	s initial on the appropriate line.):
	acce	e New Date for the Coptable, the <i>NEW</i> DA'LEADER DISCOVE	ompletion of Impleader Discovery is NOT TE FOR THE COMPLETION OF ALL CRY is:
	<u></u>		Court's Initial:
	IMP	LEADER DISCOVE	

## (9) ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY and PRIVILEGE LOGS:

Discovery of Electronically Stored Information (ESI) is one of the most expensive and challenging discovery categories. The new Commercial Division Rules, as it concerns electronic discovery privilege logs, 22 NYCRR 202.12(b) and (c)(3), attempt to rein in the cost and complexity of electronic discovery and related privilege logs.

Plaintiff:			Index No.:
			New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 19 of 31
	A.	ELE	CTRONIC DISCOVERY
•	(a)	Have case?	the parties agreed that there will be Electronic Discovery in the
			YESNONOT SURE
•	(b)	Meet	and Confer:
		Pursu	uant to 22 NYCRR 202.12(b) and (c)(3)
		(i)	Date(s) parties had their meet and confer conference(s):
		(ii)	Did the parties reach an agreement concerning electronic discovery
			YESNOPARTIALLY
		(iii)	Are counsel at this Compliance Conference sufficiently versed in matters related to their client's technological systems to discuss competently all issues relating to electronic discovery:
			YESNO
(	(c)	Othe	er directives concerning electronic discovery.
			following topics are to be updated and supplemented as new mation becomes available.
		(i)	Preservation: 22 NYCRR 202.12(c)(3)(a), (c) and (g)
		(ii)	Production: 22 NYCRR 202.12(c)(3)(e) and (d)
		(iv)	Claw Back Provisions for inadvertent production:
		(v)	Costs: Each party shall bear its own costs of production pursuant to U.S. Bank Nat'l Assoc. v. Greenpoint Mtge. Funding

Plaintiff:	Index No.:
	New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 20 of 31
	Inc., 94 A.D.3d 58 (1st Dep't 2012). In the event that cost shifting becomes an issue, the parties shall follow the mechanism for Disclosure Dispute found in section (6).
(d)	Judicial Intervention
	The parties anticipate the need for judicial intervention regarding electronic discovery.
	YESNOMAYBE
(e)	Status of Electronic Discovery
	(i) What is the current status of Electronic Discovery Production:
	<ul> <li>Have the parties agreed to the search terms?</li> </ul>
	YESNO
	Have the parties commenced production of documents?
	YES NO
<b>(f)</b>	Judicial Intervention
	What, if anything, can the Court do to facilitate the successful competition of Electronic Discovery:
(g)	Additional Directives

Plaintiff:		Index No.:
v Dofondont		Now Madel Compliance
(h)	Anticipated Da	te of Completion of Electronic Discovery
	When do	o the parties anticipate completion of electronic?
	The Court	ACCEPTS THE DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY.
		DOES NOT ACCEPT THE DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY.
	(The Court shou	ld place its initial on the appropriate line.):
	acceptable, the	for the Completion of Electronic Discovery is NOT NEW DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF DISCOVERY is:
		Court's Initial:

#### B. PRIVILEGE LOGS

One of the most time-consuming and costly aspects of discovery in complex commercial litigation cases is the creation and maintenance of privilege logs. Privilege logs are governed by Commercial Division Rule 11(b) and CPLR 3122(b).

## THE CATEGORICAL or DOCUMENT-BY-DOCUMENT APPROACH

(a) Commercial Division Rule 11-b, mandates that the parties meet and confer at the outset of the case and from time to time thereafter to discuss:

Plaintiff:	Index No.:
v. Defendant	New Model Compliance
	Conference Order
	Page 22 of 31

- the scope of the privilege review;
- the amount of information to be set out in the privilege log;
- the use of categories to reduce document-by-document logging;
- whether categories of information can be excluded from the logging requirements;
- any other issues pertinent to privilege review. (Rule 11-b(a))
- (b) (1) Rule 11(b) clearly states that the preference in the Commercial Division is for the parties to use categorical designations where appropriate to reduce the time and costs associated with preparing privilege logs. . . . (An example of such a categorical designation is the designation that all communications between the client and the client's attorney AFTER the commencement of the action would be designated as exempt pursuant to the attorney-client privilege.) . . . The parties are encouraged to utilize a reasoned method of organizing the documents.

There are specific rules that must be followed to ensure that the documents contained in a categorical designation were properly placed in that category.

- (2) In the event the requesting party refuses to permit a categorical approach, and instead insists on a document-by-document listing on the privilege log then, the requirements of CPLR 3122 must be followed. In that circumstance, however, the producing party, upon showing of good cause, may apply to the Court for an allocation of costs, including attorneys' fees, incurred with respect to preparing a document-by-document privilege log.
- (3) Even if a party insists on a document-by-document privilege log as contemplated by CPLR 3122, each uninterrupted email chain shall constitute a single entry, and the description accompanying the entry shall include the following: (i) an indication that the e-mail chain represents an uninterrupted

Plaintiff:v. Defendant			Index No.:
	noted in the e-mails in the dialorecipients, togethe about each perso	ails) of the dialogogue; and (iv) the ner with sufficient on (e.g. name of the case) to allow	nding dates and times (as gue; (iii) the number of e- e names of all the authors and at identifying information he employer, job title, or for a considered assessment
		Il have to be cons	ections of the new Privilege sidered and followed, these e.
(c)	In the Preliminary Conf	erence Order, the	e parties chose:
	Categorical Pri	vilege Log:	YESNO
	OR Document-by-D	ocument Privile	ege Log:
			YESNO
(d)	Have the Parties prepar Categorical or Docume		
		YES	NO
	If YES, what is the stat	us of the Privileg	ge Log?
	If YES, when will the F	Privilege Log be	complete:
	If NO, please explain w commenced?	hy the Privilege	Log has not been

Plaintiff:			Index No.:
v. Defendant			New Model Compliance
			Conference Order
			Page 24 of 31
		If NO, when will	the privilege log be completed?
	(e)	FINAL DATE FOLIAGE	OR THE COMPLETION OF THE PRIVILEGE
		The Court	ACCEPTS THE DATE FOR
			THE COMPLETION OF THE PRIVILEGE LOG.
			TIGVIEDOD DOG.
			DOES NOT ACCEPT THE
			DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF THE PRIVILEGE LOG.
		(The Court should	I place its initial on the appropriate line.):
			or the Completion of Privilege Logs is NOT VEW DATE FOR THE COMPLETION OF SE LOG. is:
		Make the Control of t	Court's Initial:
(h)	END	DATE FOR FAC	T DISCLOSURE:
		e Preliminary Confe losure would be con	rence Order, it was anticipated that all Fact appleted by:
	*****		1 M. NO.
	Will	this date be complie	ed with: YES NO

Plaintiff:		Index No.:				
v. Defendar	nt	New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 25 of 31				
	what do the par	If the original END DATE FOR FACT DISCLOSURE will NOT be met, what do the parties believe the NEW END DATE FOR FACT DISCLOSURE should be				
	The Court	ACCEPTS THE NEW END DATE FOR FACT DISCLOSURE				
		DOES NOT ACCEPT THE NEW END DATE FOR FACT DISCLOSURE				
	(The Court should place its initial on the appropriate line.)					
		Date for Fact Disclosure is <b>NOT</b> agreed to by the Court, the ets the following date as the <b>NEW</b> END DATE FOR FACT E:				
		Court's Initial:				

#### (i) EXPERT DISCOVERY (if any):

Pursuant to Commercial Division Rules 8 and 13(c), which mandate consultation with opposing counsel, the Court hereby ORDERS that if any party intends to introduce expert testimony at trial or in support of a motion for summary judgment, the parties, no later than thirty (30) days prior to the completion of fact discovery, shall confer on a schedule for expert disclosure – including the identification of experts, the agreement to exchange expert reports and the timetable for the deposition of testifying experts. Expert disclosure shall be completed no later than four (4) months after the completion of Fact Discovery.

In the event that a party objects to this procedure or timetable, the parties shall request a conference to discuss the objection with the Court.

Plaintiff:				Index No.:		
v. Defendant				New Model Compliance Conference Orde Page 26 of 3		
	Do tl	he parties anticip	ate EXPERT DI	SCOVERY		
		-	YES	NO		
			sue and Certific letion of expert	ate of Readiness may not be filed disclosure.		
	•		END DATE for E	Expert Discovery set in the YES NO		
	•	If YES, what v	vas the date?			
		COVERY should		EW END DATE FOR EXPERT		
	The (	Court		ACCEPTS THE NEW END DATE FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY.		
				DOES NOT ACCEPT THE NEW END DATE FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY		
		(The Court sho	uld place its init	ial on the appropriate line.)		
	the C		the following dat	very is <b>NOT</b> agreed to by the Court, te as the <b>NEW END DATE FOR</b>		

Court's Initial: \_\_\_\_

Plaintiff:		Index No.:				
v. Defendar				Compliance Ference Order Page 27 of 31		
(j)	END DATE FOR ALL DISCOV	ERY:				
	In the Preliminary Conference Ord DATE FOR ALL DISCOVERY w			END		
	Will this date be complied with:	YES _	NO			
	If the original END DATE FOR All when do the parties believe the NE DISCOVERY should be:			be met,		
	The Court	<del></del>	EPTS THE NE			
		NEW	NOT ACCEP END DATE FO OVERY			
	(The Court should place its initial on the appropriate line.)					
	If the New END DATE FOR ALL Court, the Court hereby sets the fol FOR ALL DISCOVERY:					
			_ Court's	Initial		
V. FIN	AL DIRECTIVES					
(a)	NOTE OF ISSUE					
	In the Preliminary Conference Ord ISSUE would be filed on:	er, it was ant	cipated that the	NOTE OF		

Plaintiff: v. Defendant	<u>t</u>	Index No.:  New Model Compliance  Conference Order  Page 28 of 3
	Will this date be complied with:	YES NO
	If the original NOTE OF ISSUE DAT parties believe the NEW NOTE OF IS	
	The Court	ACCEPTS THE NEW NOTE OF ISSUE DATE
		DOES NOT ACCEPT THE NEW NOTE OF ISSUE DATE
	(The Court should place its initial	al on the appropriate line.)
	If the <b>NEW NOTE OF ISSUE DATE</b> Court hereby sets the following date as	
		Court's Initial
	A copy of the Preliminary Conference and Status Conference orders shall be Issue.	order and subsequent Compliance served and filed with the Note of
(b) DISP	OSITIVE MOTION(S):	
	All dispositive motion(s) shall be made or withinIssue is filed.	on or beforedays after the Note of
	Such motions may be filed by Order to The Court encourages the parties to cor opposition and reply papers to be excha	fer and agree on the dates for the

Plaintiff:v. Defendan	Index No.:  New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 29 of 31
	PLEASE REMEMBER that if the parties intend to submit documents in a redacted or sealed form, the party MUST make a "Sealing Motion" pursuant to 22 NYCRR §202.70, Rule 11-h or Section 216.1of the Uniform Rules of the Supreme Court and County Court. Nothing may be used in any document submitted to the Court in a sealed or redacted form WITHOUT a separate and written Order of the Court.
(c)	STATUS CONFERENCE:
	Parties or their representatives with knowledge of the case and the Preliminary Conference Order shall appear for a Status Conference on
	Parties or their representatives with knowledge of the case and the Preliminary Conference Order shall also appear for all future Status Conferences.
(d)	ADDITIONAL DIRECTIVES:

Plaintiff:			Index No.:	
v. D	efendant			New Model Compliance Conference Order Page 30 of 31
VI.	ALTER	RNA	TIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION	
	to th a as	owar ne C prop sks t	rudges in the Commercial Division entres a proper and just resolution of the commercial Division believe that the per and just resolution can be reached the litigants in this case, on a continued der any and all mechanisms to resolve	issues in the case. The judges of parties are better served the earlier. Toward that end, the judges ous basis going forward, to
			HE PRELIMINARY CONFEREN ΓΕD:	CE ORDER THE PARTIES
	(2	a)	That they would be using the follow mechanisms in this case: (i) a settler participation in the Commercial Div Resolution Program (if applicable); mediator. Counsel for the parties st following alternative dispute resolu-	ment conference; (ii) vision's Alternative Dispute and/or (iii) retention of a private rated they planned to use the
	(t	p)	The parties stated that they believed commence the proposed alternative the following event (e.g., within six Conference; thirty (30) days after dediscovery was completed; when the completed on or before discovery and before the commence	dispute mechanism on or before ty (60) days of the Preliminary ocument and interrogatory e depositions of the parties are ; or after the close of fact
	(0	;)	Please indicate when (as stated in the the alternate dispute resolution median)	ne Preliminary Conference Order) hanism is expected to commence:

Plaintiff:	Index No.:
v. Defendant	New Model Compliance Conference Orde
	Page 31 of 3
(	d) PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS OR ADR HAVE NOT COMMENCED:
	****
	HE DATES SET FORTH HEREIN MAY NOT BE ADJOURNED XCEPT WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE COURT.
	THE PARTIES MUST BRING COPIES OF ALL DISCLOSURE ORDERS TO ALL CONFERENCES.
Agreed to by:	
	Counsel for Plaintiff
Agreed to by:	Counsel for Defendant
Agreed to by:	
	Counsel for Defendant
Please use addi	tional pages, if necessary.
	SO ORDERED:
DATE:	
<del></del>	J.S.C.