

Staff Memorandum

HOUSE OF DELEGATES Agenda Item # 8

To supplement the materials you previously received, attached are comments from the Bar Association of Erie County and the Dispute Resolution Section.

DISPUTE RESOLUTION SECTION

2018-2019 Officers

DEBORAH MASUCCI Chair Masucci Dispute Management and Resolution Services 20 Polhemus Place Brooklyn, NY 11215 646/670-7224 dm@debmasucciadr.com	То:	Committee on Standards of Attorney Conduct
	From:	Deborah Masucci, Chair of the Dispute Resolution Section
THEODORE K. CHENG Chair-Elect AOR Office of Theo Cheng LLC 66 Cartwright Drive Princeton Junction, NJ 08550 917/459-3669 Icheng@theocheng.com	Re:	Proposed Changes to New York Rule 3.4 (e)
	Date:	January 7, 2019

BARBARA ANTONELLO MENTZ Vice-Chair bmentz@mentz.org

ALFREIDA B. KENNY Secretary Law Office of Alfreida B. Kenny 111 John Street, Suite 800 New York, NY 10038 212/809-2700 abkennv@abkennv.com

KRISTA GOTTLIEB Treasurer ADR Center & Law Office 43 Court Street, Suite 1100 Buffalo, NY 14202-3111 716/218-2188 kg@kristagottlieb.com

The Executive Committee of the New York State Bar Association's Dispute Resolution Section ("the Section"), and the Section's Ethics Committee, reviewed the Committee on Standards of Attorney Conduct's ("COSAC") proposed change to New York Rule 3.4(e).

The Section lauds the efforts of COSAC to clarify the obligations of counsel under the Rules of Professional Conduct. This area involves competing considerations. On one hand, principled bargaining, whether in negotiation or mediation, can involve coordinated discussions with an eye towards satisfying the interests of all parties. On the other hand, threatening disciplinary or criminal action could generate a counterproductive culture of coercion, manipulation and recrimination.

Rule 3.4(e) is significant to the field of Dispute Resolution, which includes negotiation and mediation. It is the experience of members of this Section that threats of this kind do, in fact, surface, at times, during negotiations and mediations. For purposes of regulating the culture of negotiation and mediation in which counsel are involved, and to retain or enhance the civility of those proceedings while also furthering the interests of all parties and the legitimate interests of counsel, the Section provides the following comment.

First, the Section supports the inclusion of the phrase "*or disciplinary*" in the Rule. Prohibition of a threat of this kind is entirely apt. In this context, it can be helpful to consider all pertinent and material information, including the risk of discipline or criminal action.

Second, the Section recommends that the balance of the proposed change should be withdrawn for further study. Recognizing that there are challenges on either side of this equation -- and that this is an area with serious impact on the domains of dispute resolution with potentially criminal legal implications -- the Section recommends that the additional changes be withdrawn for further study. The Section, in particular, recommends study and comment by the Criminal Justice Section of the NYSBA. The Section offers a representative to study the potential changes and its impact on negotiations within the context of mediation and settlement discussion.



OFFICERS 2018-2019

MARIANNE MARIANO President

BRIDGET M. O'CONNELL Vice President

MICHELLE PARKER Treasurer

CRAIG R. BUCKI Deputy Treasurer

ANNE M. NOBLE Executive Director

DIRECTORS

Hilary C. Banker Christopher J. Belling Susan S. Hogan Meghann N. Roehl Jennifer L. Fay Mario A. Giacobbe Jonathan S. Hickey Peter Matthew Kooshoian Lauren E. Breen Reetuparna (Reena) Dutta Elizabeth A. Kraengel Michael L. McCabe

438 Main Street, Sixth Floor Buffalo, New York 14202 Phone 716-852-8687 Fax 716-852-7641 mail@eriebar.org www.eriebar.org

Via email

Kathleen Baxter, Esq., General Counsel New York State Bar Association 1 Elk Street Albany, NY 12207

Re: COSAC Proposals Regarding Conflict of Interest Provisions

January 8, 2019

Dear Ms. Baxter:

The Board of Directors for the Bar Association of Erie County and our Professional Ethics Committee has reviewed the proposed amendments of the New York Rules of Professional Conduct. I write to convey our concern with one of the proposals. The BAEC believes the proposed amendment of Rule 1.11 regarding conflicts of interest for judicial law clerks does not go far enough. We favor greater transparency. The current proposal provides that a law clerk, who applies for employment with one of the parties or its counsel, while the clerk is personally and substantially working on the litigation, need only disclose this fact to his or her judge. The BAEC proposes that the rule require that the parties be advised of the employment application to allow the parties to make such motions as they deem advisable.

We have no objection to the other proposals and thank the NYSBA Committee on Standards of Attorney Conduct for their work on this matter.

Best Regards,

Mariane Mariano

MARIANNE MARIANO President

cc: Anne M. Noble, Executive Director